

Negative Forms and Path Space Forms

Saikat Chatterjee, Amitabha Lahiri, and Ambar N. Sengupta

ABSTRACT. We present an account of negative differential forms within a natural algebraic framework of differential graded algebras, and explain their relationship with forms on path spaces.

1. Introduction

In this paper we

- (i) demonstrate that negative-degree differential forms, which have been used in the physics literature, can be formalized mathematically by means of a Koszul complex;
- (ii) derive certain fundamental properties of a natural map (I in our (3.20), involving the Chen integral, from the space of generalized differential forms to differential forms over path space.

Differential forms of negative degree have been used in a number of works [1, 2, 3]. Such forms were introduced by Sparling [4] in the context of twistors. In [1] these forms were used to cast Einstein's equations for gravity in a convenient form. The algebra and calculus of these forms and related geometrical objects were developed further in [2, 3].

Another motivation for studying differential forms of negative degree comes from the fact that the flat connections of a gauge theory made out of such generalized form connections are very similar to the flat connections found in the study of integrable systems [5] and four dimensional $B \wedge F$ theories [6]. The particular type of integrals over paths (given in our map I in 3.20) appears naturally in formulas for the variation of parallel transport along paths [7]. Even more remarkably, they also appear to closely resemble flat connections on a gerbe [8]. While we will not attempt to relate these diverse contexts, nor explain the similarities among the structures, we believe that it may be worthwhile to look at these objects from different perspectives.

In the present paper we describe a coherent, unifying algebraic framework for the calculus of such forms and relate them to forms on path spaces. More specifically, in section 2 we shall show how to realize negative differential forms, as well as the usual ones, as elements of a Koszul complex (we will explain the terminology). Then, in section 3, we show how the first order Chen integral helps set up a natural

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 81T13; Secondary: 58Z05, 16E45.
Key words and phrases. Gauge Theory, Path Spaces, Differential Forms.

mapping from generalized differential forms to forms on the space of paths, and establish some of its essential properties.

For ease of reference we have included an appendix containing the relevant essentials of differential graded algebras. Some of the notions we have used in this paper could be recast in the language of supermanifolds, but we have not done so.

2. Construction of the Complex of Generalized Forms

A generalized p -form, for any integer $p \geq -1$, has been defined (see [1]) as an ordered pair (a_p, a_{p+1}) of ordinary differential forms, where a_p is a p -form, equal to 0 in case p is -1 . The product of two such forms is defined to be

$$(2.1) \quad (a_p, a_{p+1}) \wedge (b_q, b_{q+1}) = (a_p \wedge b_q, a_p \wedge b_{q+1} + (-1)^q a_{p+1} \wedge b_q)$$

A differential calculus of such forms has been developed in the cited works, especially in [3]. For example, the exterior differential is defined by

$$(2.2) \quad d(a_p, a_{p+1}) = (da_p + (-1)^{p+1} k a_{p+1}, da_{p+1})$$

where $k \in \mathbb{R}$ is a ‘parameter’ in this system (in principle, we could let k be an abstract variable).

Our objective in this section is to present a new construction of the generalized forms showing how they fit in naturally in the category of differential graded algebras. The definitions (2.1) and (2.2) will then appear in a completely natural way.

2.1. The standard algebra of differential forms. Consider the graded algebra of differential forms over an open subset U of $\mathbb{R}^N$:

$$\Omega \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Omega(U) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \Omega_p,$$

where

$$\Omega_p = \Omega_p(U)$$

is the space of all smooth differential forms over U of degree p , with

$$\Omega_p = \{0\} \quad \text{for all } p < 0$$

On Ω there is the exterior differential

$$d : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$$

which is a superderivation of degree 1, and satisfies

$$d^2 = 0.$$

Thus, (Ω, d) is a complex, and is the basic example of a differential graded algebra. (See the Appendix for relevant concepts about differential graded algebras.)

2.2. An auxilliary complex. Consider next a new graded algebra

$$\Omega' = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \Omega'_p,$$

where Ω'_p is $\{0\}$ for all p except

$$(2.3) \quad \Omega'_0 = \mathbb{R}, \quad \Omega'_{-1} = \mathbb{R}\zeta,$$

where ζ is an abstract symbol. Multiplication is defined in the natural way, with the understanding that

$$\zeta^2 = 0.$$

We can define a superderivation d on Ω' of degree 1. This has to be zero on all elements of Ω'_0 because $\Omega'_1 = \{0\}$, and so is uniquely specified by its value on ζ . We denote this value by k :

$$d\zeta = k \in \mathbb{R}.$$

2.3. The tensor product complex. Now we introduce the tensor product complex:

$$\hat{\Omega}(U) = \Omega(U) \otimes \Omega'$$

as a graded algebra. The degree p subspace of the tensor product of two graded algebras A and B is, by definition,

$$(A \otimes B)_p = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} A_j \otimes B_{p-j}$$

Thus, in our case,

$$\hat{\Omega}_p = (\Omega_p \otimes \Omega'_0) \oplus (\Omega_{p+1} \otimes \Omega'_{-1}) \simeq \Omega_p \oplus (\Omega_{p+1} \otimes \mathbb{R}\zeta)$$

and a typical element of $\hat{\Omega}_p$ is of the form

$$(2.4) \quad \alpha_p = a_p + a_{p+1}\zeta,$$

where $a_p \in \Omega_p$, $a_{p+1} \in \Omega_{p+1}$, and we have identified $a \otimes 1$ with a , and $1 \otimes \zeta$ with ζ . To compare our development of generalized forms with that in earlier works one should use the identification

$$(2.5) \quad a_p + a_{p+1}\zeta \leftrightarrow (a_p, a_{p+1})$$

Multiplication of homogeneous elements is given explicitly by

$$\begin{aligned} (a_p + a_{p+1}\zeta)(b_q + b_{q+1}\zeta) &= a_p b_q + a_p b_{q+1}\zeta + a_{p+1}\zeta b_q + a_{p+1}\zeta b_{q+1}\zeta \\ &= a_p b_q + (a_p b_{q+1} + (-1)^q a_{p+1} b_q)\zeta \end{aligned}$$

which ‘explains’ the multiplication of generalized forms defined earlier in (2.1). Here, as is standard in super-algebra, we have inserted $(-1)^{rs}$ when moving an element of degree r past an element of degree s (see (A.3) in the Appendix).

As shown in the Appendix, the two operators d on $\Omega(U)$ and Ω' combine to yield a degree +1 superderivation d on $\hat{\Omega}$ also satisfying

$$d^2 = 0$$

The action of d is expressed by

$$(2.6) \quad d\alpha_p = da_p + (da_{p+1})\zeta + (-1)^{p+1}a_{p+1}d\zeta = da_p + (-1)^{p+1}ka_{p+1} + (da_{p+1})\zeta$$

where α_p and other notation are as in (2.4). This ‘explains’ the earlier *ad hoc* definition of the exterior differential in (2.2). It may also be noted that we have here a cochain analog of the notion of a *mapping cone* for the chain map: $a_p \mapsto k(-1)^p a_p$ (see, for instance, section 4.3 in [9]).

For a smooth manifold M , each point has a neighborhood U diffeomorphic, by a chart, to an open subset of Euclidean space, and so $\hat{\Omega}(U)$ makes sense. These algebras fit together consistently, just as in the usual calculus of differential forms, to yield differential forms over the whole manifold M .

Thus, the tensor product of differential graded algebras provides the correct structure for the generalized forms. We will not develop this structure further here to include generalized vector fields (see [3] for the latter).

2.4. The tensor product with the Koszul complex. We will now present a natural algebraic framework for a broader class of generalized differential forms introduced by Sparling [4] in the context of twistor theory.

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space, and $\bigwedge V$ the exterior algebra over V :

$$(2.7) \quad \bigwedge V = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \bigwedge^p V$$

where, of course, the negative exterior powers are, by definition, 0. We consider this same algebra with the grading inverted:

$$(2.8) \quad (\bigwedge V)^{-1} = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \bigwedge^{-p} V$$

so that

$$(\bigwedge V)^{-1}_p = \bigwedge^{-p} V$$

Fix a basis $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n$ of V , and elements $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{R}$. Requiring that

$$d\zeta_i = k_i \quad \text{for each } i = 1, \dots, n$$

specifies a unique degree +1 superderivation

$$d : (\bigwedge V)^{-1} \rightarrow (\bigwedge V)^{-1}$$

Then

$$d^2 = 0$$

and the complex thus obtained is essentially what is known as a Koszul complex (see Section 16.10 in [10]).

Now form the graded tensor product algebra

$$(2.9) \quad \hat{\Omega} = \Omega \otimes (\bigwedge V)^{-1}$$

As explained in the Appendix (Proposition A.1), the two differential operators d combine to yield a superderivation of degree +1 on $\hat{\Omega}$ with $d^2 = 0$.

The typical element of $\hat{\Omega}_p$ has now the form

$$(2.10) \quad \alpha_p = a_p + \sum_{i=1}^n a_{p+1}^i \zeta_i + \sum_{i_1, i_2=1}^n a_{p+2}^{i_1 i_2} \zeta_{i_1} \zeta_{i_2} + \dots + a_{p+n} \zeta_1 \dots \zeta_n$$

These are the generalized forms of [11], and the differential structure is also the same.

Note that in the preceding construction, we could also take $k_1, \dots, k_n$ to be abstract variables (indeterminates) and produce forms with coefficients in the algebra $\mathbb{R}[k_1, \dots, k_n]$ or quotients thereof.

3. Path Space Forms

Forms on path spaces have been studied and developed from many points of view by numerous authors ([6], to cite just one). For analytic purposes the natural path space on a manifold X is the space of H^1 maps of an interval into X . Driver [12] has developed the machinery for this setting, showing in particular that the space of such paths forms a Hilbert manifold. Driver also considered forms of a certain type on path space. For more algebraic purposes, Chen [13, 14] developed a framework of differentiable spaces which is most convenient for the study of iterated integrals of differential forms. (The notion of differentiable spaces has been developed much further in its incarnation as diffeological spaces; see [15]). We shall look at these approaches very briefly, before focusing on our setting of smooth paths. We shall limit ourselves to the minimal essentials here in order to demonstrate the relationship between path-space forms and generalized differential forms.

3.1. Path Spaces. Let X be a fixed smooth manifold. For the space $\mathcal{P}_1 X$ of H^1 paths $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ (i.e. those which, in local charts, are absolutely continuous with almost-everywhere defined square-integrable derivatives), the tangent space $T_\gamma \mathcal{P}_1 X$ consists of H^1 vector fields along γ . A differential form on this path space would then be a skew-symmetric multilinear continuous linear functional on powers of these tangent spaces.

A piecewise smooth path in X is a continuous mapping $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ for which there exists a partition $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = 1$ such that $c|_{[t_{i-1}, t_i]}$ is C^∞ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. The set of all piecewise smooth paths in X will be denoted $\mathcal{P}X$, and will be equipped with the compact-open topology. The latter is to ensure that a map $\phi : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{P}X$ is continuous if and only if the corresponding map

$$\tilde{\phi} : [0, 1] \times Y \rightarrow X : (t, y) \mapsto \phi(t)(y)$$

is continuous.

A *plot* on $\mathcal{P}X$ (as defined in [14]) is a continuous map $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathcal{P}X$, with U a closed convex region in some Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^m$, such that there is a partition $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = 1$ for which the restrictions

$$\tilde{\phi}|_{[t_{i-1}, t_i]} \times U \rightarrow X$$

are all C^∞ .

3.2. Differential forms on path spaces. A differential form w of degree p on $\mathcal{P}X$ is specified by a smooth p -form w_ϕ on U for each plot $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathcal{P}X$ with the consistency condition that

$$f^* w_\phi = w_{\phi \circ f}$$

for any C^∞ map f into the domain of any plot ϕ . Let $\Omega_p(\mathcal{P}X)$ be the set of all p -forms on $\mathcal{P}X$; note that $\Omega_0(\mathcal{P}X)$ consists of smooth functions on $\mathcal{P}X$, and $\Omega_p(\mathcal{P}X)$ is, by definition, 0 for $p < 0$. Then

$$\Omega(\mathcal{P}X) = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \Omega_p(\mathcal{P}X)$$

is a graded supercommutative algebra, as usual, and there is a natural exterior differential operator d , a superderivation of degree $+1$, on $\Omega(\mathcal{P}X)$ specified through

$$(3.11) \quad (dw)_\phi = d(w_\phi)$$

Naturally, pullbacks of path-forms are defined (see [14] for details) in such a way that

$$w_\phi = \phi^* w.$$

The analytic approach suggests that a convenient example of a p -form on $\mathcal{P}X$ should be given as follows. Suppose $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathcal{P}X$ is a plot with $\phi(u) = \gamma$ for some point $u \in U$, and $V_1, \dots, V_p \in T_u U$ are vectors. Then we have p vector fields $\phi_* V_i$ along γ given, at all but finitely many values of t , by

$$\phi_* V_i(t) = D_{V_i} \tilde{\phi}(t, \cdot),$$

where on the right we have the derivative of the map

$$\tilde{\phi}(t, \cdot) : U \rightarrow X : y \mapsto \tilde{\phi}(t, y)$$

at u along $V_i \in T_u U$.

So if w is a p -form along γ (a section of the pullback of $\wedge^p T^*X$ over γ) then

$$w_\phi : (V_1, \dots, V_p) \mapsto \int_0^1 w(\phi_* V_1, \dots, \phi_* V_p) dt$$

provides, at least formally, a p -form on $\mathcal{P}X$ in the sense of Chen explained above.

3.3. From forms on spaces to forms on path spaces. Consider a $(p+1)$ -form w on X , where p is an integer ≥ -1 . Consider a plot $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathcal{P}X$, which corresponds, as usual, to a map $\tilde{\phi} : [0, 1] \times U \rightarrow X$. Then $\tilde{\phi}^* w$ is a $(p+1)$ -form on $[0, 1] \times U$ which we may write as

$$(3.12) \quad \tilde{\phi}^* w = dt \wedge \dot{w}_\phi(t) + w_\phi(t)$$

where $\dot{w}_\phi(t) \in \Omega_p(U)$ and $w_\phi(t) \in \Omega_{p+1}(U)$, both pulled back to forms over $[0, 1] \times U$ via the projection on the second factor. Define the first order Chen integral $\int_0^1 w$ to be the p -form on $\mathcal{P}X$ specified through

$$(3.13) \quad \left(\int_0^1 w \right)_\phi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^1 \dot{w}_\phi(t) dt \in \Omega_p(U)$$

Note that this (first-order) *Chen integral carries a $(p+1)$ -form on X to a p -form on $\mathcal{P}X$* . A zero-form, i.e. a function, is taken to 0 because the corresponding $\dot{w}_\phi(t)$ is 0. Thus we can take the Chen integral

$$\int_0^1 : \Omega(X) \rightarrow \Omega(\mathcal{P}X)$$

to be a degree -1 linear map (hence equal to 0 in all negative degrees).

Let

$$(3.14) \quad \text{ev}_t : \mathcal{P}X \rightarrow X : \gamma \mapsto \gamma(t)$$

be the evaluation map. Then, (3.12) implies that

$$(3.15) \quad (\text{ev}_t^* w)_\phi = (\text{ev}_t \circ \phi)^* w = w_\phi(t),$$

where $w_\phi(t)$ is as defined through (3.12). Thus we have the degree-preserving map

$$(3.16) \quad \text{ev}_t^* : \Omega(X) \rightarrow \Omega(\mathcal{P}X)$$

which is, of course, 0 on all Ω_p for $p < 0$ (these subspaces being all 0).

The following observation is useful for us:

PROPOSITION 3.1. *If $w \in \Omega(X)$ then*

$$(3.17) \quad \int_0^1 dw + d \int_0^1 w = \text{ev}_1^* w - \text{ev}_0^* w$$

Thus, the first Chen integral $\int_0^1$ provides a chain homotopy between the maps ev_1^* and ev_0^* .

Proof If $w \in \Omega_p(X)$ with $p < 0$ then w and all terms in (3.17) are 0. So we assume that w is a form of non-negative degree. Applying d to (3.12) we obtain

$$(3.18) \quad \tilde{\phi}^* dw = -dt \wedge d_U \dot{w}_\phi(t) + dt \wedge \frac{\partial w_\phi(t)}{\partial t} + d_U w_\phi(t)$$

where we have used the subscript in d_U to stress that it is the differential of a form on U (lifted back to $[0, 1] \times U$). So

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^1 dw \right)_\phi &= - \int_0^1 (d_U \dot{w}_\phi(t)) dt + \int_0^1 \frac{\partial w_\phi(t)}{\partial t} dt \\ &= -d \left(\int_0^1 w \right)_\phi + w_\phi(1) - w_\phi(0) \end{aligned}$$

On using (3.11), the first term on the right is $-(d \int_0^1 w)_\phi$. The other terms can be read off using (3.15), showing that

$$(3.19) \quad \left(\int_0^1 dw \right)_\phi = - \left(d \int_0^1 w \right)_\phi + (\text{ev}_1^* w - \text{ev}_0^* w)_\phi.$$

This yields the desired formula (3.17). QED

Let us note here that formulae of this type have been useful in a number of contexts (Proposition 4.1.2 in [14], and Theorem 6.14.1 in [16], for instance).

3.4. Generalized forms over X and forms on $\mathcal{P}X$. We can now specify a mapping from the elements of $\hat{\Omega}_p$, for X , to $\Omega_p(\mathcal{P}X)$, i.e. from generalized p -forms over X to p -forms over the path space $\mathcal{P}X$:

$$(3.20) \quad w_p + w_{p+1} \zeta \mapsto \text{ev}_1^* w_p - \text{ev}_0^* w_p + k(-1)^{p+1} \int_0^1 w_{p+1}$$

where $w_p \in \Omega_p(X)$ and $w_{p+1} \in \Omega_{p+1}(X)$; when $p < 0$, each term on the right is 0 by definition. These maps combine to yield a degree-preserving linear map

$$(3.21) \quad I : \hat{\Omega}(X) \rightarrow \Omega(\mathcal{P}X).$$

Our aim is to explore the mathematical structure of the map I . As to its origins, let us note only in brief that, for instance, the ‘Duhamel formula’, known sometimes also as a non-abelian Stokes theorem, which gives the variation of parallel-transport along a path (see for example Proposition 3.6 in [7]) can be expressed in the form of the right side in (3.20).

Now we can prove:

PROPOSITION 3.2. *The mapping I commutes with the differential d :*

$$Id = dI$$

Proof. For any $w_p \in \Omega_p(X)$ and $w_{p+1} \in \Omega_{p+1}(X)$, where $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
dI(w_p + w_{p+1}\zeta) &= d\left(\text{ev}_1^*w_p - \text{ev}_0^*w_p + k(-1)^{p+1} \int_0^1 w_{p+1}\right) \\
&= \text{ev}_1^*dw_p - \text{ev}_0^*dw_p + k(-1)^{p+1}d \int_0^1 w_{p+1} \\
&= \text{ev}_1^*dw_p - \text{ev}_0^*dw_p \\
&\quad + k(-1)^{p+1} \left[- \int_0^1 dw_{p+1} + \text{ev}_1^*w_{p+1} - \text{ev}_0^*w_{p+1} \right] \\
&= \text{ev}_1^*(dw_p + k(-1)^{p+1}w_{p+1}) - \text{ev}_0^*(dw_p + k(-1)^{p+1}w_{p+1}) \\
&\quad + k(-1)^{p+1+1} \int_0^1 dw_{p+1} \\
&= I(dw_p + k(-1)^{p+1}w_{p+1} + (dw_{p+1})\zeta) \\
&= Id(w_p + w_{p+1}\zeta). \quad \boxed{\text{QED}}
\end{aligned}$$

We turn to further properties of I (we make the natural assumption that $k \neq 0$).

PROPOSITION 3.3. *For the map I we have:*

- (i) *the kernel $\ker I$ of the linear map I is contained inside $\hat{\Omega}_{-1} \oplus \hat{\Omega}_0$ and consists of all elements of the form $g\zeta + d(f\zeta)$ with f, g running over $\Omega_0(X)$;*
- (ii) *I is injective on $\bigoplus_{p \geq 1} \hat{\Omega}_p$;*
- (iii) *for $\alpha \in \hat{\Omega}_p$, with $p \geq 0$, $dI(\alpha)$ is 0 if and only if $d\alpha$ is 0.*

Proof. Note that (i) implies (ii), and then (iii) follows from the fact that $Id = dI$. Thus, it will suffice to prove (i).

Consider I on $\hat{\Omega}_p$ for $p \geq 1$. Suppose $w_p \in \Omega_p(X)$, $w_{p+1} \in \Omega_{p+1}(X)$, are such that

$$I(w_p + w_{p+1}\zeta) = 0$$

Contracting both sides on p arbitrary vector fields $Q_1, \dots, Q_p \in T_\gamma(\mathcal{P}X)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&w_p(Q_1(t), Q_2(t), \dots, Q_p(t)) \mid_{\gamma(1)} - w_p(Q_1(t), Q_2(t), \dots, Q_p(t)) \mid_{\gamma(0)} \\
(3.22) \quad &+ (-1)^{p+1}k \int_\gamma w_{p+1}(\dot{\gamma}(t), Q_1(t), Q_2(t), \dots, Q_p(t)) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

By choosing a set of vector fields Q_i , with any specified (arbitrary) values at 0, and equal to zero everywhere except near a small neighborhood of 0, we see that the form w_p must be 0. Then, proceeding with w_{p+1} , and (essentially) taking the derivative with respect to t at $t = 1$, we see that $\iota_{\dot{\gamma}(t)}w_{p+1}$ is 0 on any vectors $Q_1(1), \dots, Q_p(1) \in T_{\gamma(1)}X$. The path γ being arbitrary, it follows then that w_{p+1} is, in fact, also 0.

Next we turn to the case $p = 0$. We write f in place of w_0 . Equation (3.22) still holds, except no vectors Q_i are involved:

$$(3.23) \quad f(\gamma(1)) - f(\gamma(0)) - k \int_0^1 w_1(\dot{\gamma}(t)) dt = 0$$

Differentiating this at the ‘upper’ end point shows that, since γ is arbitrary,

$$w_1 = k^{-1}df$$

(assuming $k \neq 0$). Thus, the kernel of I consists of all generalized forms of the type

$$f + k^{-1}(df)\zeta = d(k^{-1}f\zeta),$$

where f runs over all smooth functions over X . We can then absorb the factor k^{-1} into f . Lastly, note that I is 0 on all elements $g\zeta \in \hat{\Omega}_{-1}$, by definition. QED

Using the map I we can transfer the wedge product from $\hat{\Omega}$ onto the image $I(\hat{\Omega}(X))$ in $\Omega(\mathcal{P}X)$, by requiring that the following diagram commute:

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \hat{\Omega}(X) & \xrightarrow{I} & I(\hat{\Omega}(X)) \subset \Omega(\mathcal{P}X) \\ \wedge \downarrow & & \downarrow \wedge' \\ \hat{\Omega}(X) & \xrightarrow{I} & I(\hat{\Omega}(X)) \subset \Omega(\mathcal{P}X) \end{array}$$

Because I is not injective this has to be viewed as operating only on forms of degree ≥ 1 .

The wedge product on the left vertical arrow is given by Eq. (2.1). For any $a_p, a_{p+1}, b_q, b_{q+1} \in \Omega(X)$, with $p \geq 1$, we have

$$(3.25) \quad \overset{p}{\mathbf{a}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} I(a_p + a_{p+1}\zeta) = \text{ev}_1^*(a_p) - \text{ev}_0^*(a_p) + k(-1)^{p+1} \int_0^1 a_{p+1}$$

$$(3.26) \quad \overset{q}{\mathbf{b}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} I(b_q + b_{q+1}\zeta) = \text{ev}_1^*(b_q) - \text{ev}_0^*(b_q) + k(-1)^{q+1} \int_0^1 b_{q+1}$$

Then it follows that the wedge product on $I(\hat{\Omega}(X))$ is given by

$$(3.27) \quad \overset{p}{\mathbf{a}} \wedge' \overset{q}{\mathbf{b}} = \text{ev}_1^*(a_p b_q) - \text{ev}_0^*(a_p b_q) + k(-1)^{p+q+1} \int_0^1 (a_p b_{q+1} + (-1)^q a_{p+1} b_q).$$

Since $\wedge'$ is given through I by means of the commuting diagram (3.24), it follows that

$$(3.28) \quad d(\overset{p}{\mathbf{a}} \wedge' \overset{q}{\mathbf{b}}) = (d \overset{p}{\mathbf{a}}) \wedge' \overset{q}{\mathbf{b}} + (-1)^p \overset{p}{\mathbf{a}} \wedge d \overset{q}{\mathbf{b}},$$

$$(3.29) \quad \overset{p}{\mathbf{a}} \wedge' \overset{q}{\mathbf{b}} = (-1)^{pq} \overset{q}{\mathbf{b}} \wedge' \overset{p}{\mathbf{a}}.$$

Note that $\wedge'$ is not simply the natural wedge product on $\Omega(\mathcal{P}X)$, though the two are presumably related.

Acknowledgements

ANS thanks the École Normale Supérieure, Paris, and the SN Bose Center, Kolkata, for research visits during which this work was done.

Appendix A. Appendix

We will summarize here some essentials concerning graded algebras and complexes, for ease of reference.

A graded vector space is a vector space V expressed as a direct sum of subspaces V_k , with k running over the set $\mathbb{Z}$ of integers:

$$V = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} V_k$$

The non-zero elements of V_k will be said to have *degree* k , and we define the degree of 0 arbitrarily to be 0. Elements in some V_k will be said to be *homogeneous*, and the degree of a homogeneous element x will be denoted d_x or $d(x)$.

Often we are interested in the case of a *module* over a ring R (which could be the space of smooth functions on a manifold), instead of a vector space. Here and henceforth R is a commutative ring with $1 \neq 0$. A *graded R -algebra* is a graded R -module

$$A = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} A_p$$

along with a bilinear, associative product

$$A \times A \rightarrow A$$

for which

$$A_p A_q \subset A_{p+q} \quad \text{for all } p, q \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The *super-commutator* in such a graded algebra is the bilinear map

$$A \times A \rightarrow A : (a, b) \mapsto \{a, b\}$$

such that, for homogeneous x and y ,

$$(A.1) \quad \{x, y\} = xy - (-1)^{d_x d_y} yx$$

The algebra is *super-commutative* if all super-commutators are zero. For instance, the smooth differential forms generate a super-commutative graded algebra.

A *super-derivation* D of degree $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ on A is an R -linear map

$$D : A \rightarrow A : x \mapsto D(x)$$

for which $D(A_j) \subset A_{j+q}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, satisfying

$$(A.2) \quad D(xy) = D(x)y + (-1)^{d_x q} xD(y)$$

for all homogeneous elements $x, y \in A$. If D and D' are superderivations of degrees q and q' then

$$\{D, D'\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} DD' - (-1)^{qq'} D'D$$

is a superderivation of degree $q + q'$.

Now consider graded R -modules A and B . There is then the tensor product R -module

$$A \otimes B$$

whose elements are linear combinations of elements of the form $a \otimes b$ with $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Define a $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading on this tensor product by setting

$$(A \otimes B)_p = \bigoplus_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} A_q \otimes B_{p-q}$$

If A and B are graded algebras, then $A \otimes B$ is also a graded algebra under the product

$$(A.3) \quad (a \otimes b)(a' \otimes b') = (-1)^{d_b d_{a'}} (aa') \otimes (bb')$$

for all homogeneous $a, a' \in A$ and homogeneous $b, b' \in B$. It may be checked by a lengthy computation (or by functorial arguments) that $A \otimes B$ is also associative.

It may be convenient to write ab instead of $a \otimes b$. With this convention, the product is defined through

$$aba'b' = (-1)^{d_b d_{a'}} aa'bb'$$

for homogeneous elements.

Now consider a superderivation D , of degree q , on A , and a superderivation of the same degree on B , and, for notational convenience, we denote the latter superderivation also by D . Define a linear map, again denoted D ,

$$D : A \otimes B \rightarrow A \otimes B$$

by requiring that

$$(A.4) \quad D(ab) = D(a)b + (-1)^{qd_a} aD(b)$$

for homogeneous $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Then, for homogeneous elements a, b, a', b' , we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(aba'b') &= (-1)^{d(b)d(a')} D(aa'bb') \\ &= (-1)^{d(b)d(a')} \left[D(aa')bb' + (-1)^{q(d(a)+d(a'))} aa'D(bb') \right] \\ &= (-1)^{d(b)d(a')} \left[D(a)a'bb' + (-1)^{qd_a} aD(a')bb' \right] \\ &\quad + (-1)^{d(b)d(a')+q(d(a)+d(a'))} [aa'D(b)b' + (-1)^{qd_b} aa'bD(b')] \\ &= D(a)ba'b' + (-1)^{qd_a} aD(b)a'b' \\ &\quad + (-1)^{qd(ab)} abD(a')b + (-1)^{qd(ab)+qd(a')} aba'D(b') \\ &= D(ab)a'b' + (-1)^{qd(ab)} abD(a'b') \end{aligned}$$

Thus, D is a superderivation of degree q on $A \otimes B$.

Applying D twice successively we have

$$\begin{aligned} D^2(ab) &= D^2(a)b + (-1)^{q(q+d_a)} D(a)D(b) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{qd_a} D(a)D(b) + aD^2(b) \end{aligned}$$

Taking q to be odd, we obtain:

Proposition A.1. *If D is a super-derivation of a common odd degree q on each of the graded algebras A and B , and $D^2 = 0$ on A and on B , then on $A \otimes B$ the induced D is also a super-derivation of degree q satisfying $D^2 = 0$.*

References

- [1] P. Nurowski and D. C. Robinson, *Generalized exterior forms, geometry and space-time*, Class. Quantum Grav. 18 No 14 (21 July 2001) L81-L86.
- [2] P Nurowski and D C Robinson, *Generalized forms and their applications*, Class. Quant. Grav. **19** (2002) 2425.
- [3] Saikat Chatterjee, Amitabha Lahiri, Partha Guha, *Generalized forms and vector fields*. J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 39 (2006) 15435-15445.
- [4] G. A. J. Sparling, *Abstract/Virtual/Reality/Complexity*, in *The Geometric Universe: Science, Geometry, and the Work of Roger Penrose* edited by S.A. Huggett, L.J. Mason, K.P. Tod, S.T. Tsou, N. M. J. Woodhouse, Oxford University Press, 1998.
- [5] O. Alvarez, L. A. Ferreira and J. Sanchez Guillen, *A new approach to integrable theories in any dimension*, Nucl. Phys. B **529**, 689 (1998)
- [6] A. S. Cattaneo, P. Cotta-Ramusino, M. Rinaldi, *Loop and Path Spaces and Four-Dimensional BF Theories: Connections, Holonomies and Observables*, Commun. Math. Phys. **204** (1999) 493-524 .
- [7] Ambar N. Sengupta, *The Moduli Space of YangMills Connections Over a Compact Surface*, Reviews in Mathematical Physics Vol. 9, No. 1 (1997) 77-121.
- [8] L. Breen and W. Messing, *Differential Geometry of Gerbes*, arXiv:math.ag/0106083.
- [9] Edwin H. Spanier, *Algebraic Topology* Springer Verlag (1994).
- [10] Serge Lang, *Algebra* (2nd Edition) Addison-Wesley (1984).

- [11] D. C. Robinson, *Generalized Forms, Connections, and Gauge Theories* *Int. J. of Theor. Phys* **42** (2003) 2971.
- [12] Bruce K. Driver, *Classification of Bundle Connection Pairs by Parallel Translations and Lassos*, *J. Func. Analysis*, 83, No. 11 (1989), 185-231.
- [13] Kuo-Tsai Chen, *Algebras of Iterated Path Integrals and Fundamental Groups*, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 156, May, 1971 (May, 1971), pp. 359-379.
- [14] Kuo-Tsai Chen, *Iterated Integrals of Differential Forms and Loop Space Homology*, *The Annals of Mathematics*, 2nd Ser., Vol. 97, No. 2 (Mar., 1973), pp. 217-246.
- [15] Patrick Iglesias-Zemmour, *Diffeology*, <http://math.huji.ac.il/~piz/documents/Diffeology.pdf>
- [16] Raoul Bott and Loring W. Tu, *Differential Forms in Algebraic Topology*, Springer Verlag (1982).

Saikat Chatterjee and Amitabha Lahiri, S. N. BOSE NATIONAL CENTRE FOR BASIC SCIENCES,
BLOCK JD, SECTOR III, SALT LAKE, KOLKATA 700098, WEST BENGAL, INDIA
E-mail address: saikat@bose.res.in, amitabha@bose.res.in

Ambar Sengupta, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY, BATON
ROUGE, LOUISIANA 70803, USA
E-mail address: sengupta@math.lsu.edu
URL: <http://www.math.lsu.edu/~sengupta>